

<u>MEETING</u>	
COUNCIL	
<u>DATE AND TIME</u>	
TUESDAY 31ST JANUARY, 2017	
AT 7.00 PM	
<u>VENUE</u>	
HENDON TOWN HALL, THE BURROUGHS, LONDON NW4 4BQ	

Dear Councillors,

Please find enclosed additional papers relating to the following items for the above mentioned meeting which were not available at the time of collation of the agenda.

Item No	Title of Report	Pages
14.1	AMENDMENT IN THE NAME OF CLLR GILL SARGEANT - ADMINISTRATION MOTION IN THE NAME OF CLLR LISA RUTTER - VOLUNTEERING IN CARE HOMES	3 - 4
14.2	AMENDMENT IN THE NAME OF CLLR ALAN SCHNEIDERMAN - ADMINISTRATION MOTION IN THE NAME OF CLLR ROHIT GROVER - A PUBLIC REALM MANAGEMENT FORUM FOR BARNET	5 - 6
14.3	AMENDMENT IN THE NAME OF CLLR BARRY RAWLINGS - ADMINISTRATION MOTION IN THE NAME OF CLLR BRIAN GORDON - ADOPTION OF AN INTERNATIONALLY RECOGNISED DEFINITION OF ANTI-SEMITISM	7 - 8
14.5	AMENDMENT IN THE NAME OF CLLR KATHY LEVINE - OPPOSITION MOTION IN THE NAME OF CLLR ANNE HUTTON - IMPACT OF NATIONAL FUNDING FORMULA ON BARNET'S SCHOOLS	9 - 12
14.7	AMENDMENT IN THE NAME OF CLLR ALISON MOORE - OPPOSITION MOTION IN THE NAME OF CLLR PHIL COHEN - SUPPORT OUR GPS AND NHS - DON'T ATTACK THEM!	13 - 14

Agenda item 14.1 – Opposition amendment in the name of Cllr Gill Sargeant

Administration Motion in the name of Cllr Lisa Rutter – Volunteering in care homes

Add before first paragraph: “Care Homes are under increasing pressure to deliver services to a growing elderly population. Underfunding, at both government and local level, has left staff stretched and with little time to engage residents.”

Add at the end: “Council will support the individuals and organizations on the list.”

Add after ‘communicate in English’:

“We have a large number of Chinese and Asian residents in the borough - many of whom are elderly and vulnerable for whom culturally specific services are not an option but a necessity, and it is essential that the council listens to those from these communities, and other communities, who understand their own cultural and linguistic needs best.

Unfortunately, recent cuts by this Conservative administration to social care organisations providing services to these residents undermine the importance of independence and user-led services and represent an attack on grassroots voluntary and community services in Barnet who often do fantastic work in supporting and standing up for the local community.

The stark reality is that the Conservative-led council refused in their last budget, despite repeated representations from Labour councillors, to apply the full social care precept which could have mitigated the impact on these groups.

This is being compounded by a legacy of successive cuts passed on to local government services by a Conservative national government that has consistently refused to fund social care properly.”

Substantive text to read:

Care Homes are under increasing pressure to deliver services to a growing elderly population. Underfunding, at both government and local level, has left staff stretched and with little time to engage residents

Care homes have limited resources, and there are specific areas of staffing where volunteers help and can help further.

Thank you to all those who volunteer in Barnet.

As our population grows more diverse there are language difficulties as people age. They prefer to use their original language or may become unable to communicate in English.

We have a large number of Chinese and Asian residents in the borough - many of whom are elderly and vulnerable for whom culturally specific services are not an option but a necessity, and it is essential that the council listens to those from these communities, and other communities, who understand their own cultural and linguistic needs best.

Unfortunately, recent cuts by this Conservative administration to social care organisations providing services to these residents undermine the importance of independence and user-led services, and represent an attack on grassroots voluntary and community services in Barnet who often do fantastic work in supporting and standing up for the local community.

The stark reality is that the Conservative-led council refused in their last budget, despite repeated representations from Labour councillors, to apply the full social care precept which could have mitigated the impact on these groups.

This is being compounded by a legacy of successive cuts passed on to local government services by a Conservative national government that has consistently refused to fund social care properly.

Council wishes to encourage volunteering and specifically those who might help with other languages.

Council call on officers to create a register of volunteers with language skills who might be able to assist and make this list available as required.

Council will support the individuals and organizations on the list.

Council: 31 January 2017

AGENDA ITEM 14.2

Agenda item 14.2 – Opposition amendment in the name of Cllr Alan Schneiderman

Administration Motion in the name of Cllr Rohit Grover – A Public Realm Management Forum for Barnet

Add after the first paragraph:

"In addition to conservation areas being important, Council also believes that every area of the Borough is important and that proper planning and investment is necessary across Barnet."

Insert in second bullet point after 'certain areas':

"... - Cricklewood, for example, which is on the border of three Boroughs -...."

Substantive text to read:

Council believes that Barnet's 16 conservation areas contribute to the Borough's distinctive character, making it an attractive place to live and work. Any dilution of this character due to insufficient conservation input with respect to the design of streets could have profound consequences for perceptions of Barnet and therefore its future prosperity.

In addition to conservation areas being important, Council also believes that every area of the Borough is important and that proper planning and investment is necessary across Barnet.

Council notes that improving traffic flows and parking across Barnet is important, but that as well as getting people from A to B roads and streets also serve as arteries of communities which have the capacity to greatly affect the overall quality of life for local residents. While traffic signs control and guide traffic and promote road safety, when used to excess and with no clear function they can clutter streets, make them unattractive, and introduce hazards for users. This is also true for excessive street furniture and lighting equipment.

Council therefore believes that designers and stakeholders should be encouraged to work together at an early stage in order to promote a focus on the creation of locally distinct high quality places. A Public Realm Management Forum, with specialist input from conservation experts, would enable such a multi-disciplinary approach to be adopted with respect to the design of public realm works across the Borough, secure improved decision-making and instil best practice in line with other local authorities. Such an approach is recommended by the Department for Transport, Historic England and the Institute of Highway Engineers.

Council acknowledges that all parts of the public sector face challenges of reduced budgets. However, a Public Realm Management Forum would actually save Council money by avoiding abortive works and expensive mistakes being made which then have to be corrected at implementation stage - while ensuring compliance with best practice and statutory conservation requirements. Considerable sums could also be saved by minimising the need for street clutter and its subsequent maintenance, and through the recycling of materials.

Council therefore requests that officers are instructed to:

- Investigate how a Public Realm Management Forum may be able to ensure multi-disciplinary input into the design of future public realm works across the Borough; and
- Consider a trial period for certain areas, - **Cricklewood, for example, which is on the border of three Boroughs** - bearing in mind that informal collaboration of this nature already takes place within Garden Suburb ward where a number of residents and other stakeholders have specialist conservation expertise.

Council also requests that the results of this investigation are reported back to the Environment Committee for consideration.

Under Full Council Procedure Rule 23.5: if this item is not dealt with by the end of the meeting it will be referred to the relevant Committee

Agenda item 14.3 – Opposition amendment in the name of Cllr Barry Rawlings

Administration Motion in the name of Cllr Brian Gordon – An internationally recognised definition of anti-Semitism

Delete all and replace with *(additions highlighted in bold)*:

“Council deplores the marked increase in anti-Semitic incidents in this country.

This includes incidents when criticism of Israel has been expressed using anti-Semitic tropes.

Council notes the variety of guises in which anti-Semitic hate-mongering can be manifested and the indirect tactics often employed to promote animosity towards Jews, such as inflammatory, slanderous attacks on Israel and Zionism.

Such tactics are equally deplorable, be they from extreme Right, Left or fundamentalist sources.

Criticism of Israel can be legitimate, but not if it employs the tropes and imagery of anti-Semitism.

Council considers these developments to be of particular concern within this Borough, which has the largest Jewish population in the country, and prides itself on the good inter-community relations existing among its citizens.

Council accordingly welcomes the lead taken by HM Government in promoting the adoption of an internationally recognised definition of anti-Semitism, consistent with that adopted last year by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance. This states:

“Anti-Semitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred towards Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of anti-Semitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities.”

The guidelines highlight manifestations of anti-Semitism as including:

“• Calling for, aiding, or justifying the killing or harming of Jews in the name of a radical ideology or an extremist view of religion.

- Making mendacious, dehumanizing, demonizing, or stereotypical allegations about Jews as such or the power of Jews as collective — such as, especially but not exclusively, the myth about a world Jewish conspiracy or of Jews controlling the media, economy, government or other societal institutions.

- Accusing Jews as a people of being responsible for real or imagined wrongdoing

committed by a single Jewish person or group, or even for acts committed by non-Jews.

- Denying the fact, scope, mechanisms (e.g. gas chambers) or intentionality of the genocide of the Jewish people at the hands of National Socialist Germany and its supporters and accomplices during World War II (the Holocaust).

- Accusing the Jews as a people, or Israel as a state, of inventing or exaggerating the Holocaust.

- Accusing Jewish citizens of being more loyal to Israel, or to the alleged priorities of Jews worldwide, than to the interests of their own nations.

- Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination, e.g., by claiming that the existence of a State of Israel is a racist endeavour.

- Applying double standards by requiring of it behaviour not expected or demanded of any other democratic nation.

- Using the symbols and images associated with classic anti-Semitism (e.g., claims of Jews killing Jesus or blood libel) to characterize Israel or Israelis.

- Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis.

- Holding Jews collectively responsible for actions of the state of Israel.”

Council welcomes the cross-party support within the Council for combating anti-Semitism in all its manifestations.

Council hereby adopts the above definition of anti-Semitism as set out by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance and pledges to combat this pernicious form of racism, as well as all other forms of racism and hate crime.”

Agenda item 14.5 – Opposition amendment in the name of Cllr Kathy Levine

AGENDA ITEM 14.5

Opposition Motion in the name of Cllr Anne Hutton - Impact of National Funding Formula on Barnet's schools

Delete all and replace with *(no deletions; addition highlighted in bold before the breakdown of schools figures)*:

“Council notes that schools and academies across the country are set to lose up to £3bn in real terms from their funding by 2020 as a result of the new National Funding Formula to be introduced in April 2018, a cash freeze on the amount of funding per pupil and the 75 per cent cut to the Education Services Grant announced in 2015.

The National Union of Teachers and Association of Teachers and Lecturers estimate that this could mean a cut of £23,181,571 to Barnet's schools by 2019 - an average cut of £497 per pupil or a loss of 622 teachers. Details of these potential cuts, provided by the NUT and ATL and broken down by local school, are set out below.

Council believes the impact of these cuts would be devastating to local schools and the children attending them.

Council also notes that Labour councillors secured agreement from the Children, Education, Libraries and Safeguarding Committee in June last year that the Chair of the Committee would write to the Secretary of State for Education lobbying against these cuts.

Council requests that this letter is now published together with the details of any response from the Secretary of State.

Council also requests that the Leader of the Council and the Leader of the Opposition write jointly to the Chancellor of the Exchequer calling on him to:

- Commit to the additional funding needed to implement a national funding formula which increases funding for schools and academies in comparatively poorer funded areas of England without cutting funding per pupil for other schools, including by:
- Funding schools for the higher national insurance and employers pension contributions which are costing almost £1bn a year
- Protecting funding per pupil overall in real terms for the remainder of this Parliament.
- Reversing the 75 per cent cut to the Education Services Grant.
- Taking action to ensure fair funding for SEN/high needs and early years pupils; restore post 16 funding to previous levels; and address the funding gap between England and Wales.
- **Abandoning the recent decision to take back additional funding of £384m promised to schools in England for converting to Academies – if the money was available then, it should still be available regardless of the policy change, as schools really need it.**

Potential Budget Changes to some Barnet Schools

Primary Schools

Budget change by 2019

loss per pupil

teacher loss

Akiva School	-167,047	-397	-4
All Saints CofE Primary	-86,679	-411	-2
All Saints	-79,819	-334	-2
Barnfield Primary	-184,537	-384	-5
Beis Yaacov Primary	-189,968	-418	-4
Bell Lane Primary	-133,817	-336	-3
Blessed Dominic Catholic Primary	-156,249	-448	-4
Brookland Junior School	-129,754	-363	-3
Brunswick Park	-204,765	-570	-5
Chalgrove Primary	-160,083	-616	-4
Childs Hill School	-132,834	-445	-3
Church Hill	-84,541	-368	-2
Christ Church	-88,712	-441	-2
Colindale Primary	-208,885	-319	-5
Coppetts Wood	-93,404	-410	-2
Claremont Primary	-200,236	-517	-5
Cromer Road	-152,210	-375	-3
Danegrove	-144,949	-224	-3
Deansbrook Infant School	-94,769	-358	-2
Dollis Junior	-199,339	-582	-4
Foulds	-125,681	-399	-3
Frith Manor Primary School	-250,611	-405	-5
Garden Suburb Junior	-120,883	-341	-3
Goldbeaters Primary	-238,185	-567	-5
Grasvenor	-60,782	-596	-1
Hadley Wood	-94,405	-446	-2
Hasmonean Primary	-88,075	-425	-2
Holly Park	-225,324	-477	-5
Hollickwood	-123,116	-625	-2
Holy Trinity CofE Primary	-105,248	-454	-2
Livingstone	-96,987	-390	-2
Manorside Primary	-182,795	-610	-4
Martin Primary School	-308,790	-547	-8
Menorah Primary	-153,749	-394	-4
Menorah Foundation School	-132,163	-436	-3
	Budget change by 2019	loss per pupil	teacher loss
Mathilda Marks-Kennedy	-89,090	-445	-2
Monkfrith	-143,898	-477	-3
Monken Hadley	-67,303	-449	-1

Moss Hall Junior School	-191,072	-464	-4
Northside Primary School	-145,046	-602	-3
Osidge	-104,506	251	-2
Our Lady of Lourdes RC School	-99,326	-469	-2
Pardes House Primary School	-143,628	-542	-3
Parkfield Primary	-162,621	-400	-3
Queenswell Inf & Nurs	-101,878	-380	-2
Queenswell Junior	-154,739	-462	-3
Sacks Morasha Primary	-118,475	-586	-3
Sacred Heart	-170,718	-408	-4
St Agnes RC School	-89,424	-289	-2
St Catherine's	-167,782	-375	-4
St John's N11	-91,022	-434	-2
St Mary's & St John's CofE	-341,399	-402	-8
St Joseph's Catholic Primary	-57,017	-122	-1
St John's	-78,555	-385	-1
St John's N11	-91,022	-434	-2
St Paul's	-114,206	-557	-2
St Paul's CofE Primary	-89,494	-431	-1
St Theresa's Catholic Primary School	-106,675	-443	-2
St Vincent's Catholic Primary	-146,201	-443	-3
Summerside Primary	-146,134	-326	-3
Sunnyfields Primary	-102,124	-496	-2
The Annunciation Catholic Infant School	-38,736	-228	-2
The Annunciation RC Junior School	-88,642	-387	-2
The Hyde	-218,702	-517	-5
The Orion Primary	-336,562	-474	-8
Tudor Primary School	-132,263	-620	-3
Underhill	-318,015	-643	-8
Woodcroft Primary	-269,034	-610	-7
Woodridge	-102,297	-428	-2
Wessex Gdns Primary	-235,868	-542	-6
Whittings Hill	-260,477	-635	-6

	Budget change by 2019	loss per pupil	teacher loss
Secondary Schools			
Ashmole	-754,964	-661	-19

Bishop Douglass School	-337,896	-687	-9
Christ's College Finchley	-157,904	-256	-3
East Barnet	-788,287	-753	-17
Friern Barnet	-406,842	-516	-10
Finchley Catholic	-491,382	-572	-11
Hasmonean High School	-587,693	-705	-13
Hendon School	-298,435	-305	-6
Henrietta Barnett	-326,146	-672	-8
Independent Jewish Day School			
	-102,760	-514	-2
JCOSS	-491,373	-549	-12
Queen Elizabeth's Girls	-463,347	-531	-11
Queen Elizabeth School	-530,439	-591	-13
St Andrew's	-85,403	-419	-2
St James' Catholic High School	-306,763	-341	-7
St Michael's Catholic Grammar School	-259,277	-542	-6
The Compton School	-684,615	-674	-15
Totteridge Academy	-494,235	-921	-11
Whitefield School	-647,222	-979	-17
Woodhouse College (teaching Asstnts)	-509,768	-387	-28
Wren Academy	-758,782	-741	-19

Agenda item 14.7 – Opposition amendment in the name of Cllr Alison Moore

Opposition Motion in the name of Cllr Phil Cohen – Support our GPs, don't attack them!

Add after "lack of demand":

"Barnet CCG is pressing ahead with plans for 7-day GP opening, although patients in the borough have not been asked if they want it, and despite an unfair funding formula that could compromise Barnet's ability to deliver the extended opening hours effectively.

According to figures presented to the Barnet CCG meeting on 26 January, the £6.1m GP Access Fund for extra GP opening hours across the five Boroughs that make up the North Central London NHS, has not been shared out equally in line with the population of each Borough.

The money has been split as follows: Barnet £725,000 (population 384,000), Enfield £1.8m (population 332,000), Haringey £1.3m (population 273,700), Islington £1.3m (population 222,600) and Camden £900,000 (population 238,000).

This means Barnet will get just £1.90 per person in NHS funding to support extra hours and seven-day-a-week GP services this year, compared with £5.42 per person in Enfield, £4.75 in Haringey, and £3.78 in Camden. Islington gets more than three times as much as Barnet with £5.84 per person."

Substantive text to read:

Council condemns the Prime Minister's recent attack on GPs blaming them for the crisis in our NHS and A&E departments, and her threat to reduce funding if they do not extend their hours to provide a 12 hour service, 7 days a week.

Council agrees with the statement from the Chair of the British Medical Association's GPs committee - Dr Chaand Nagpaul - that "This is not the time to deflect blame or scapegoat overstretched GP services, when the fundamental cause of this crisis is that funding is not keeping up with demand.

"This is evidenced by the fact the UK spends less on health and has fewer doctors and beds per head than other leading countries, as highlighted by the head of NHS England, Simon Stevens, only this week.

"Rather than trying to shamelessly shift the blame on to GPs, the government should take responsibility for a crisis of its own making and outline an emergency plan to get to grips with the underlying cause, which is the chronic under-resourcing of the NHS and social care."

Council notes Dr Nagpaul also said: ""We don't have enough GPs to provide a safe service at the moment. [The Prime Minister] knows that we're several thousand GPs short, she knows in fact that more than eight in ten GPs cannot provide safe care. We're trying to churn patients through our waiting rooms in ten-minute intervals, patients that need half an hour."

Council also notes that according to NHS England Barnet will need 90+ new GPs equivalent by 2022 - 60 to replace retiring GPs, 15 additional FTEs and 19 new GP/nurse FTEs.

Council further notes that in some other areas extended hours have been abandoned because of a lack of demand.

Barnet CCG is pressing ahead with plans for 7-day GP opening, although patients in the borough have not been asked if they want it, and despite an unfair funding formula that could compromise Barnet's ability to deliver the extended opening hours effectively.

According to figures presented to the Barnet CCG meeting on 26 January, the £6.1m GP Access Fund for extra GP opening hours across the five Boroughs that make up the North Central London NHS, has not been shared out equally in line with the population of each Borough.

The money has been split as follows: Barnet £725,000 (population 384,000), Enfield £1.8m (population 332,000), Haringey £1.3m (population 273,700), Islington £1.3m (population 222,600) and Camden £900,000 (population 238,000).

This means Barnet will get just £1.90 per person in NHS funding to support extra hours and seven-day-a-week GP services this year, compared with £5.42 per person in Enfield, £4.75 in Haringey, and £3.78 in Camden. Islington gets more than three times as much as Barnet with £5.84 per person.

Council calls on the Leader of the Council and the Chair of the Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee to write to the Prime Minister and Secretary of State for Health setting out LB Barnet's support for local GPs and the service they provide, and requesting - once again - that our NHS and social care services are properly funded to meet demand.

Council also calls for the full social care precept of 3% to be applied in this year's budget to help meet the overwhelming demand for adults social care services.

[Under Full Council Procedure Rule 23.5: if this item is not dealt with by the end of the meeting it will be referred to the relevant Committee.]